PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<u> </u>		I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	REGE	
TRANSMITTAL		Application Number		09/899,583	CENTRALF	
		Confirmation Number		5290		
		Filing Date		07/06/2001	711 1	
		First Named Invent	or	Charles W	illiam Norman	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filling) Total Number of Pages in This Submission 6		Art Unit		2665		
		Examiner Name		Steven H.D. Nguyen		
		Attorney Docket Number 122		12268		
	ENCLO	OSURES (check all that	t apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee Attached	Licensing	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
-	Petition	•		Appeal Communication to TC		
Amendment / Reply		•		(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietz	ary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request	☐ Terminal	Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
П= . м	Request for Refund					
Express Abandonment Request	CD, Num	CD, Number of CD(s)			•	
Information Disclosure Statement	<u></u> Ца	Landscape Table on CD				
		Remarks It is believed that no fees are due in this matter. However, If it is letermined that fees are due, the Commissioner is authorized to debit Deposit				
Reply to Missing Parts/	Account No	. 210765 for the require	d fees.			
Incomplete Application						
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53						
SIG	NATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTOR	RNEY, OF	RAGENT		
Firm	c					
Signature	MA	A				
Printed Name Michael J. Sette		ter				
Date 4/21/2006			Reg. No.	37,936		
	CERTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMISS	ION/MAIL	ING		
hereby certify that this corresponde Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents, Con	nce is being fac nmissioner for P	simile transmitted to the atents, P.O. Box 1450, A	e USPTO, Alexandria,	fax number VA 22313-14	(571) 273-8300, addressed to: 450 on the date shown below.	
Signature	enio C	Cameron.				
	Jamle Cameron			Date	4/21/2006	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burder, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistence in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 2 1 2006

Practitioner's Docket No. 1226a

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Charles William Norman

Application No.: 09/899,583

Filed: 07/06/01

Group No.: 2665

Examiner: Steven H. D. Nguyen

For: Method and System for Transporting a Secondary Communication Signal with a

Primary Communication Signal

Mailstop Appeal Brief – Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Reply Brief

Appellant makes two points in reply to the Examiner's Answer.

1. The "Response to Argument" section of the Examiner's Answer (section 10) states with respect to the Appeal Brief:

"In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e. receiving an original SDH/SONET signal, terminated overhead such RSOH/SOH and MSOH/LOH in the original SDH/SONET signal and transferred terminated overhead, so the original SDH/SONET signal can be replicated down stream) are not recited in the rejected claim(s)."

Thus, the Examiner's Answer asserts that Appellant is arguing unclaimed features to distinguish the prior art. This assertion is clearly incorrect and misrepresents the

<u>claims</u>. The following table compares the <u>exact text</u> of the Appellant's argument from the Appeal Brief to the <u>exact text</u> of claim 41.

APPEAL BRIEF	CLAIM 41		
Claims 41-42 and 44-45 require that a first	41. A method of operating a Synchronous		
adaptor assembly receive an SDH signal	Digital Hierarchy (SDH) system, the		
and terminate the RSOH and MSOH	method comprising: receiving a first SDH		
information in the SDH signal.	signal into a first adaptor assembly,		
·	wherein the first SDH signal has		
	regenerator section overhead information,		
	multiplexer section overhead information,		
	and a payload; in the first adaptor		
	assembly, terminating the regenerator		
	section overhead information and the		
	multiplexer section overhead information		
	in the first SDH signal; (emphasis added)		
The first adaptor assembly transfers the	transferring the terminated regenerator		
payload and the terminated RSOH and	section overhead information, the		
мѕон.	terminated multiplexer section overhead		
	information, and the payload from the		
	first adaptor assembly; (emphasis added)		
A second adaptor assembly receives the	receiving the terminated regenerator		
payload and the terminated RSOH and	section overhead information, the		
мѕон.	terminated multiplexer section overhead		
	information, and the payload into a		
	second adaptor assembly; (emphasis		
	added)		

The second adaptor assembly generates another SDH signal having the payload and the *terminated* RSOH and MSOH ... Thus, the original signal can be replicated downstream.

in the second adaptor assembly, generating a second SDH signal having the terminated regenerator section overhead information, the terminated multiplexer section overhead information, and the payload; and transferring the second SDH signal from the second adaptor assembly.

The limitations that the Appellant relies on in the Appeal Brief are clearly present in the claims, and thus, this section of the Examiner's Answer is completely and totally without merit.

2. The "Response to Argument" section of the Examiner's Answer also states that Furuta teaches the transfer of terminated overhead. This assertion is clearly incorrect and misrepresents the prior art. In SONET and SDH, a device "terminates" overhead by:

1) retrieving the overhead data from the overhead data fields, 2) processing the overhead data to facilitate network operations, 3) generating new overhead data, and 4) loading the new overhead data into the overhead data fields. Furuta never mentions overhead termination or otherwise describes the operations of steps 2, 3, 4 that entail overhead termination.

Furuta is directed to the location and inspection of the Path Overhead (POH). (See Furuta, column 1, lines 25-30). To inspect the POH, interface 30a removes the RSOH/MSOH information from the SDH signal, and interface 30b adds the RSOH/MSOH information back to the SDH signal. (See Furuta, column 4, line 53 to column 5, line 24). In between interfaces 30a and 30b, the Furuta system locates and inspects the POH.

The sections of Furuta cited by the Examiner on overhead termination (Figures 12, 18-19 and column 4, lines 55-65) use arrows or the terms "extract" or "remove" to describe what happens to the overhead. In Furuta, the overhead is extracted and re-

inserted, but extraction and reinsertion is not termination, because termination steps 2, 3, and 4 do not occur.

If the extracted overhead were terminated in Furuta, then the extracted overhead would be processed to facilitate network operations, but Furuta does not teach such processing for the extracted overhead. If the extracted overhead were terminated in Furuta, then new overhead data would be generated and loaded into the SDH signal, but Furuta does not teach any generation and loading of new overhead data. Furuta appears to teach the extraction and re-insertion of the same, non-terminated overhead data. As a result, Furuta does not teach the transfer of terminated overhead data.

For the sake of argument, assume that Furuta inherently teaches overhead termination. If the overhead data were terminated in Furuta, then new overhead data would be loaded into the SDH signal in the conventional manner. The terminated overhead data would not be loaded into the SDH signal, and thus, terminated overhead would not be transferred as claimed. In either scenario, Furuta does not teach the transfer of terminated overhead data as claimed.

Applicants note that the Examiner's Answer repeatedly places the word terminated in quotation marks ("terminated") when referring to Furuta, even though the word terminated does not appear in Furuta. Thus, the Examiner's Answer improperly quotes Furuta as using the term terminated.

With all due respect, Appellant strongly objects to the tactics employed in the Examiner's Answer. The Examiner's Answer clearly misrepresents the claims. The Examiner's Answer also improperly attributes an important claim term to the prior art using quotation marks when the prior art does not use the term.

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Michael J. Setter, Reg. No. 37,936

Setter Ollila LLC

Telephone: (303) 938-9999 ext. 13

Facsimile: (303) 938-9995

Correspondence address:

CUSTOMER NO. 28004

Attn: Harley R. Ball 6391 Sprint Parkway

Mailstop: KSOPHT0101-Z2100 Overland Park, KS 66251-2100